UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,967	09/01/2006	Tommy Mullane	05-864	1659
	7590 02/20/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	9 RT & BERGHOFF LLP	EXAMINER	
300 S. WACKER DRIVE			NIU, XINNING	
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/552,967	MULLANE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	XNNING NIU	2828
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 / 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowardsed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-5,8-17,20,21 and 26 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,14 and 26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8-13,15-17,20 and 21 is/are objected 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receive Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

10/552,967 Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 14, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over B. Glance et al., "One-THz Digital Random Access High Resolution Optical Frequency Synthesizer Providing Cold-Start Operation From A Frequency Reference," Communications: Connecting the Future. San Diego, Dec. 2 5, 1990, Proceedings of the Global Telecommunications Conference and Exhibition, New York, IEEE, Vol. 2, 2 December 1990 (1990-12-0Z), pp. 766-767. in view of Li et al. (2003/0007522) and Anton et al. (2003/0026302).

10/552,967

Art Unit: 2828

4. Regarding claim 1, Glance et al. disclose: providing a wavelength reference having at least first and second resonance peaks (the Fabry-Perot resonator has more than one resonance peaks) (Figure 1, page 0766). Sweeping the laser across a predetermined wavelength range of the wavelength reference; the laser is tuned across the entire tuning range of the laser (page 0766). Defining within the laser sweep, one or more regions of continuous tuning operation of the laser, each of the regions corresponding to a response of the laser between adjacent resonance peaks of the wavelength reference; the laser is tuned over a 1Thz tuning range in steps of 500Mhz which is continuously tuned (Figure 2, Pages 0766-0767). Glance et al. do not disclose: wherein the regions of continuing tuning operation of the laser are defined by: calibrating the laser so as to provide a range of currents with no mode jumps; selecting continuous regions with a first frequency overlap that have a resonance peak of the wavelength reference from their beginnings and ends; and setting the currents while sweeping through those wavelengths so as to provide responsibly transitioning wavelength sweep, wherein setting the currents is provided by filtering and/or shaping the currents. Li et al. disclose: mode hop free tuning is important in order to avoid transmission errors and for tuning to all the wavelengths in a particular wavelength range ([0004] [0005]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Glance et al. by choosing a range of current with no mode jumps in order to avoid transmission errors when the laser is used for communication. When the laser is biased with a range of

Application/Control Number:

10/552,967

Art Unit: 2828

currents with no mode jumps the wavelength output will have a smooth transition due to

Page 4

no discontinuities in the output.

5. Glance et al. as modified do not disclose: wherein setting the currents is provided

by filtering and/or shaping the currents (Figure 1, [0026]-[0030]). Anthon et al. disclose:

mode hope free tuning of a laser device, the laser device (20) is controlled by a control

unit (23) which changes the current value (shapes the current) applied to the laser

depending on a command value. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Glance et

al. as modified by shaping the current applied to the laser since it is well known that

currents can be changed (shaped).

6. Regarding claim 2, Glance et al. disclose: continuous tuning regions next to one

another (Figure 2, Pages 0766-0767).

7. Regarding claim 3, Glance et al. disclose: one or more regions of continuous

tuning operation re displaced from one another across the pre-determined wavelength

range (Figure 2, page 0766, right column). In figure 2, continuous tuning region 1 and 3

are displaced from one another across the pre-determined wavelength range.

8. Regarding claim 4, Glance et al. disclose: two or more regions placed next to

each other to form a usable tuning data set (Figure 2, page 0766, right column).

a Fabry-Perot etalon (Figure 1, Page 0766).

10/552,967 Art Unit: 2828

- 9. Regarding claim 14, Glance et al. disclose: wavelength reference is provided by
- 10. Regarding claim 26, Glance et al. disclose: providing a wavelength reference having at least first and second resonance peaks (the Fabry-Perot resonator has more than one resonance peaks) (Figure 1, page 0766). Sweeping the laser across a predetermined wavelength range of the wavelength reference; the laser is tuned across the entire tuning range of the laser (page 0766). Defining within the laser sweep, one or more regions of continuous tuning operation of the laser, each of the regions corresponding to a response of the laser between adjacent resonance peaks of the wavelength reference; the laser is tuned over a 1Thz tuning range in steps of 500Mhz which is continuously tuned (Figure 2, Pages 0766-0767). Glance et al. do not disclose: wherein the regions of continuing tuning operation of the laser are defined by: calibrating the laser so as to provide a range of currents with no mode jumps; selecting continuous regions with a first frequency overlap that have a resonance peak of the wavelength reference from their beginnings and ends; and setting the currents while sweeping through those wavelengths so as to provide responsibly transitioning wavelength sweep, wherein setting the currents is provided by filtering and/or shaping the currents. Li et al. disclose: mode hop free tuning is important in order to avoid transmission errors and for tuning to all the wavelengths in a particular wavelength range ([0004] [0005]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Glance et al. by

10/552,967

Art Unit: 2828

choosing a range of current with no mode jumps in order to avoid transmission errors when the laser is used for communication. When the laser is biased with a range of currents with no mode jumps the wavelength output will have a smooth transition due to no discontinuities in the output.

- 11. Glance et al. as modified do not disclose: wherein setting the currents is provided by filtering and/or shaping the currents. Anthon et al. disclose: mode hope free tuning of a laser device, the laser device (20) is controlled by a control unit (23) which changes the current value (shapes the current) applied to the laser depending on a command value (Figure 1, [0026]-[0030]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Glance et al. as modified by shaping the current applied to the laser since it is well known that currents can be changed (shaped).
- 12. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over B. Glance et al., "One-THz Digital Random Access High Resolution Optical Frequency Synthesizer Providing Cold-Start Operation From A Frequency Reference," Communications: Connecting the Future. San Diego, Dec. 2 5, 1990, Proceedings of the Global Telecommunications Conference and Exhibition, New York, IEEE, Vol. 2, 2 December 1990 (1990-12-0Z), pp. 766-767. in view of Li et al. (2003/0007522), Anton et al. (2003/0026302) and Ackerman et al. (6,535,532).

10/552,967 Art Unit: 2828

13. Regarding claim 5, Glance et al. as modified disclose: a computer used to control a tuning apparatus which have continuous tuning over a first frequency region with frequency overlap on either side with the previous and next continuous tuning regions (page 0766, right column); computer programmed to select a continuous region when a resonance peak is detected in the frequency region and jumping to the next continuous tuning region when the next resonance peak in found (page 0766, right column); repeating the steps until a sufficient range of wavelength has been swept (page 0766, right column). Glance et al. do not disclose: the control signal being turned on and off to denote a continuous region, lookup table used to store various values. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Glance et al. by turning on and off a control signal to select the beginning and end points of a continuous region in order to keep track and store the values to memory. Ackerman et al. disclose: laser control system using lookup tables to store values for laser operation (claim 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Glance et al. by using a lookup table in order to store laser tuning values.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 8-13,15-17, 20, 21 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 8-17, 20, 21, 26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to XNNING NIU whose telephone number is (571)270-1437. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, 7:30-5:00 EST, Alternate Fridays 7:30-4:00 ES.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Sun Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number:

10/552,967 Art Unit: 2828

/Xinning(Tom) Niu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2828 02/13/2009

/Minsun Harvey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2828 Page 9